arXiv:1608.00939v3 [math.OA] 15 Mar 2017

CHARACTERIZATIONS OF ORDERED OPERATOR SPACES

TRAVIS B. RUSSELL

Abstract. We demonstrate new abstract characterizations for unital and non-unital operator spaces. We characterize unital operator spaces in terms of the cone of accretive operators (operators whose real part is positive). We show that matrix norms and accretive cones are induced by gauges, although inducing gauges are not unique in general. Finally, we show that completely positive completely contractive linear maps on non-unital operator spaces extend to any containing operator system if and only if the operator space is induced by a unique gauge.

1. Introduction

The study of operator spaces and operator systems has played an increasingly important role in operator theory and operator algebras since the introduction of completely positive maps by Stinespring in [21] and the seminal work on completely positive maps by Arveson in [1]. **Operator spaces** (vector subspaces of $B(H)$, the bounded linear operators on a Hilbert space H) have been studied in the context of Ruan's Theorem (Theorem 1.4 below), which characterizes them up to complete isometry. **Operator systems** (unital self-adjoint operator spaces), on the other hand, have been studied in the context of the Choi-Effros Theorem (Theorem 1.2 below) which characterizes them up to unital complete order isomorphism. Since the norm structure of an operator system is determined by its order structure, operator systems are examples of ordered operator spaces - operator spaces possessing a specified cone of positive operators at each matrix level. Abstract operator spaces, on the other hand, lack natural cones of positive operators. For example, if $V \subset B(H)$ is a concrete operator space, then the mapping

$$x \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} 0 & x \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

into $B(H^2)$ is easily seen to be completely isometric. As abstract operator spaces, V and its image under the above mapping are identical, even though V could contain non-zero positive operators while its image in $B(H^2)$ does not. In other words, abstract operator spaces forget their order structure.

In this paper, we will demonstrate an abstract characterization for operator spaces in terms of matrix gauges (Theorem 4.8). Concretely, we define the gauge of an operator $T \in B(H)$ to be $\nu(T) = \|Re(T)_+\|$, where $Re(T)_+$ is the positive part of the real part of T. From the gauge, we can recover the norm (see Lemma 4.7), involution and order structure at every matrix level. Any mapping which is "completely gauge isometric" will automatically be completely isometric, self-adjoint, and a complete order embedding. In the course of proving our main result, we will also recover an abstract characterization for unital operator spaces in terms of cones of **accretive operators**, operators whose real part is positive (Theorem 3.4). As

Date: September 9, 2016. Revised February 15, 2017.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 46L07.

Key words and phrases. operator system, operator space, matrix ordered vector space, real completely positive maps.

applications, we will consider representations of normal operator spaces and extensions of completely positive completely contractive maps. A normal operator space is an abstract operator space together with an order structure which satisfies the condition that $x \leq y \leq z$ implies $\|y\| \leq \max(\|x\|, \|z\|)$ at every matrix level. We provide representation theorems for these objects (Theorems 5.4 and 5.6). This is achieved by proving that the norm and order structures on a normal operator space are induced by a matrix gauge. We show by example that this inducing matrix gauge is not always unique. In fact, we can characterize operator spaces with unique inducing gauges as operator spaces with the "real-cpcc extension property". This is is the property that every completely positive completely contractive linear map from the given operator space into $B(H)$ can be extended to a completely positive completely contractive map on any containing operator system. For example, operator systems have the real-cpcc extension property, by the Arveson extension theorem.

Before moving on, we briefly review some related literature. The results in sections 2 and 3 rely heavily upon the theory of accretive operators and real-completely positive maps. Cones of accretive operators have been studied in the context of operator algebras, unital operator spaces, and Banach algebras in [2], [3], [7], [8], [9], and [10]. See section 3 of [9] for several fundamental properties of the cone of accretive operators. The real-completely positive maps defined in section 2 were also studied by Blecher, Read and other authors. See section 2 of [2] for several fundamental results concerning these maps. The study of accretive operators and real-completely positive maps was brought to the author's attention by David Blecher. Abstract characterizations of unital operator spaces up to complete isometry can be found in [5] and [6]. Another characterization of unital operator spaces (in terms of the existence of sufficiently many unital functionals) can be found in [13]. Cones of accretive operators are not addressed in [5], [6] or [13]. Matrix gauges, considered in section 3, were introduced by Effros and Winkler in [12] as non-commutative generalizations of Minkowski gauges. Effros and Winkler were able to prove analogues of the classical bipolar and Hahn-Banach Theorems for matrix gauges. We prove a special case of their Hahn-Banach Theorem using our results (Theorem 6.4). Questions about abstract operator spaces with a matricial order structure go back to the work of Schreiner who studied "matrix regular operator spaces" in [20]. The matrix regular condition is similar to, but more restrictive than, our notion of normality. For example, the positive cone of a matrix regular operator space spans the entire space, while this may not be the case in a normal operator space. Abstract characterizations of non-unital self-adjoint ordered operator spaces can also be found in [15], [16], and [22]. Each of these authors take as an axiom the existence of sufficiently many positive functionals to norm the space, whereas we make no such assumption. Normality is also mentioned in Werner's paper [22] in connection with the existence of sufficiently many positive functionals to norm the space. An abstract characterization for matrix-ordered $*$-algebras due to Juschenko and Popovych can by found in [14].

We now summarize some basic definitions, notation, and background. For a detailed introduction to these topics, we refer the reader to [17]. We will call a vector space V a $\mathbb{R}$-vector space (respectively $\mathbb{C}$-vector space) if the underlying field is $\mathbb{R}$ (respectively, $\mathbb{C}$). For any subset S of a $\mathbb{C}$-vector space, we let "span S" denote the set of $\mathbb{C}$-linear combinations of elements of S. Let V be a ($\mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{C}$)-vector space. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we let $M_n(V)$ denote the vector space of $n \times n$ matrices with entries in V. For each $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$, we let M_n (respectively, $M_{n,m}$) denote the $n \times n$ (respectively, $n \times m$) matrices with entries in $\mathbb{C}$. We use the notation $x = [x_{k,l}] \in M_n(V)$ to indicate that the (k,l)-entry of x is $x_{k,l}$. When

$x \in V$ and $Y = [y_{k,l}] \in M_n$, we let $x \otimes Y$ denote the matrix $[y_{k,l}x] \in M_n(V)$. For $x \in M_n(V)$, we let x^T denote the transpose of x.

Given a Hilbert space H and $T \in B(H)$, we let $\sigma(T)$ denote the spectrum of T and, when T is self-adjoint, we let T_+ denote the positive part of T.

A subset C of a ($\mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{C}$)-vector space is called a cone if $C + C \subseteq C$ and $tC \subseteq C$ for all $t \geq 0$. A cone is called **proper** if $C \cap -C = \{0\}$. Now, let V be a $\mathbb{C}$-vector space. A **matrix cone** is a sequence of cones $\{C_n \subset M_n(V)\}$ satisfying the condition that for every $X \in M_{n,k}$, we have $X^*C_nX \subset C_k$. If, in addition, each C_n is proper, we call $\{C_n\}$ a **proper** matrix cone.

By a **$*$-vector space**, we mean a $\mathbb{C}$-vector space V equipped with a conjugate-linear **involution** $*$, i.e., a map satisfying $(x + \lambda y)^* = x^* + \overline{\lambda}y^*$ and $x^{**} = x$ for each $x, y \in V$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$. For each $x \in V$, we define $Re(x) := \frac{1}{2}(x + x^*)$ and $Im(x) := \frac{1}{2i}(x - x^*)$. We say x is **self-adjoint** if $x^* = x$, and write V_{sa} for the $\mathbb{R}$-vector space $\{x : x^* = x\}$. When V is a $*$-vector space, we may extend $*$ to $M_n(V)$ by setting $[a_{k,l}]^* = [a_{k,l}^*]^T$, the transpose of the matrix obtained by applying $*$ to each entry.

By a **matrix-ordered $*$-vector space**, we mean a $*$-vector space V together with a proper matrix cone $V_+ = \{V_+^n\}$ satisfying $V_+^n \subset M_n(V)_{sa}$ for each n. We call a vector $e \in V_{sa}$ a **matrix-order unit** if for each $x \in M_n(V)_{sa}$, there exists a $t > 0$ such that $te \otimes I_n + x \in V_+^n$. We call e an **archimedean matrix-order unit** if whenever $te \otimes I_n + x \in V_+^n$ for all $t > 0$ it follows that $x \in V_+^n$. When e is an archimedean matrix-order unit for a matrix-ordered $*$-vector space (V, V_+), we call the triple (V, V_+, e) an **archimedean matrix-order unit space**.

Example 1.1. *Let H be a Hilbert space, and let $S \subset B(H)$ be an operator system. We may identify $M_n(S) \subset B(H^n)$. Let S_+^n be the set of positive operators in $M_n(S)$, $S_+ = \{S_+^n\}$, and $e = I_H$. Then (S, S_+, e) is an archimedean matrix-order unit space.*

Given two matrix-ordered $*$-vector spaces (S, S_+) and (T, T_+), we call a map $\phi : S \to T$ **completely positive** if the map $\phi^{(n)} : M_n(S) \to M_n(T)$ (defined by applying ϕ to each entry of a matrix) is positive for each n (i.e., $\phi^{(n)}(S_+^n) \subset T_+^n$). ϕ is called **self-adjoint** if $\phi(x)^* = \phi(x^*)$ for each $x \in S$. ϕ is called a **complete order embedding** if ϕ is completely positive and one-to-one, and ϕ^{-1} (restricted to the image of ϕ) is also completely positive. We call a surjective complete order embedding a **complete order isomorphism**. It is well known that completely positive maps on operator systems are automatically self-adjoint.

The following Theorem, due to Choi and Effros, shows that archimedean matrix-order unit spaces can always be realized as operator systems.

Theorem 1.2 (Choi-Effros, [11])**.** *Let (S, S_+, e) be an archimedean matrix-order unit space. Then there exists a Hilbert space H and a unital complete order embedding $\phi : S \to B(H)$.*

Hence, we may identify the archimedean matrix-order unit space S with the operator system $\phi(S) \subseteq B(H)$.

We now turn our attention to operator spaces. Let V be a $\mathbb{C}$-vector space. We call a sequence of norms $\{\|\cdot\|_n : M_n(V) \to [0, \infty)\}$ an L^∞ **matrix-norm** provided that for each $A \in M_n(V)$, $B \in M_m(V)$, and $X, Y \in M_{n,k}$, the following conditions hold.

(1) $\|Y^*AX\|_k \leq \|X\|\|Y\|\|A\|_n$, where $\|X\|$ and $\|Y\|$ are the operator norms of X and Y, respectively.

(2) $\|A \oplus B\|_{n+m} = \max\{\|A\|_n, \|B\|_m\}$, where $A \oplus B = \begin{bmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & B \end{bmatrix}$.

A vector space V together with an L^∞ matrix-norm $\{\|\cdot\|_n\}$ is called an L^∞ **matrix-normed space**.

Example 1.3. *Let $V \subset B(H)$ be an operator space. Define $\|\cdot\|_n$ on $M_n(V)$ by identifying $M_n(V) \subset B(H^n)$ and defining $\|\cdot\|_n$ to be the operator norm. Then $(V, \{\|\cdot\|_n\})$ is an L^∞ matrix-normed space.*

Given two L^∞ matrix-normed spaces $(V, \{\|\cdot\|_n\})$, and $(W, \{\|\cdot\|_n\})$, we call a map $\phi : V \to W$ **completely contractive** if $\phi^{(n)}$ is contractive for each n. We call ϕ **completely isometric** if $\phi^{(n)}$ is isometric for each n.

The next theorem shows that every L^∞ matrix-normed space has a representation as an operator space.

Theorem 1.4 (Ruan, [18])**.** *Let $(V, \{\|\cdot\|_n\})$ be an L^∞ matrix-normed space. Then there exists a Hilbert space H and a linear map $\phi : V \to B(H)$ which is completely isometric.*

Hence, we may identify the L^∞ matrix-normed space V with the operator space $\phi(V) \subset B(H)$, at least with respect to its norm structure.

2. Accretive matrix-ordered vector spaces

We begin by studying the structure of accretive matrix-ordered vector spaces, algebraic generalizations of operator spaces with cones of accretive operators (see Example 2.5 below). The following lemmas will be useful.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $\{C_n\}$ be a matrix cone in a $\mathbb{C}$-vector space Z, and let $V_n = C_n \cap -C_n$. Then each V_n is a $\mathbb{R}$-vector space. Moreover,*

$$V_n = \{z \in M_n(Z) : z + z^T, i(z - z^T) \in M_n(V_1)\}.$$

Proof. Since each V_n is a cone and satisfies $V_n = -V_n$, each V_n is a $\mathbb{R}$-vector space. Set $V_n' := \{z \in M_n(Z) : z + z^T, i(z - z^T) \in M_n(V_1)\}$. To see that $V_n \subseteq V_n'$, let $z = [z_{k,l}] \in V_n$. We will show that for each $k, l \leq n$, $z_{k,l} + z_{l,k}, i(z_{k,l} - z_{l,k}) \in V_1$. For each $k \leq n$, let $e_k \in M_{n,1}$ be the column matrix with a 1 in its kth entry and zeroes elsewhere. Now,

$$z_{k,l} + z_{l,k} = (e_k + e_l)^* z (e_k + e_l) - e_k^* z e_k - e_l^* z e_l$$

and

$$i(z_{k,l} - z_{l,k}) = (e_k + ie_l)^* z (e_k + ie_l) - e_k^* z e_k - e_l^* z e_l.$$

Since $\{V_m\}$ is a matrix cone and V_n is a $\mathbb{R}$-vector space, we see that

$$z_{k,l} + z_{l,k}, i(z_{k,l} - z_{l,k}) \in V_1.$$

To see that $V_n' \subseteq V_n$, assume that $z = [z_{k,l}] \in V_n'$. It suffices to show that $z + z^T, z - z^T \in V_n$. Let $a_{k,l} := z_{k,l} + z_{l,k}, b_{k,l} := i(z_{k,l} - z_{l,k})$, and $c_{k,l} := z_{k,l} - z_{l,k}$. Since $z \in V_n'$, we see that $a_{k,l}, b_{k,l} \in V_1$ for each $k, l \leq n$. Now,

$$a_{k,l} \otimes (E_{k,l} + E_{l,k}) = (e_k + e_l) a_{k,l} (e_k + e_l)^* - e_k a_{k,l} e_k^* - e_l a_{k,l} e_l^*$$

and

$$c_{k,l} \otimes (E_{k,l} - E_{l,k}) = (e_k + ie_l) b_{k,l} (e_k + ie_l)^* - e_k b_{k,l} e_k^* - e_l b_{k,l} e_l^*$$

where $E_{k,l}$ is the $n \times n$ matrix with a 1 in the (k,l) entry and zeroes elsewhere. Hence $a_{k,l} \otimes (E_{k,l} + E_{l,k}), c_{k,l} \otimes (E_{k,l} + E_{l,k}) \in V_n$. But

$$z + z^T = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k,l \leq n} a_{k,l} \otimes (E_{k,l} + E_{l,k})$$

and

$$z - z^T = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k,l \leq n} c_{k,l} \otimes (E_{k,l} - E_{l,k}).$$

So $z + z^T, z - z^T \in V_n$. □

Lemma 2.2. *Let $\{C_n\}$ be a matrix cone in a $\mathbb{C}$-vector space Z, and let $V_n = C_n \cap -C_n$. Then* $\operatorname{span} V_n = M_n(\operatorname{span} V_1)$.

Proof. For $z \in M_n(Z)$, set $a := z + z^T$ and $b := i(z - z^T)$. Then $z = \frac{1}{2}a + \frac{1}{2i}b$. If $z \in V_n$, then by Lemma 2.1, $a, b \in M_n(V_1)$. Hence, $V_n \subset M_n(\operatorname{span} V_1)$. On the other hand, if $z \in M_n(V_1)$, it is easily checked that $a+a^T, i(a-a^T), b+b^T, i(b-b^T) \in M_n(V_1)$. Hence, $a, b \in V_n$. So $M_n(V_1) \subset \operatorname{span} V_n$. The statement follows. □

Lemma 2.3. *Let $\{C_n\}$ be a matrix cone in a $\mathbb{C}$-vector space Z, and set $V_n = C_n \cap -C_n$ and $J_n = V_n \cap iV_n$. Then each J_n is a $\mathbb{C}$-vector space, and $J_n = M_n(J_1)$.*

Proof. Since each J_n is a $\mathbb{R}$-vector space and since $iJ_n = J_n$, it follows that J_n is a $\mathbb{C}$-vector space. Since $\{C_m \cap iC_m\}$ is a matrix cone and

$$J_n = (C_n \cap iC_n) \cap -(C_n \cap iC_n),$$

it follows that $\operatorname{span} J_n = M_n(\operatorname{span} J_1)$ by Lemma 2.2. But J_n is a $\mathbb{C}$-vector space, so $J_n = M_n(J_1)$. □

Definition 2.4. *Let Z be a $\mathbb{C}$-vector space. A matrix cone $C = \{C_n\}$ in Z is called $\mathbb{C}$-**proper** if $\cap_{k=0}^{3} i^k C_1 = \{0\}$, and the pair (Z, C) is called an **accretive matrix-ordered vector space**. In this case, we often set $Z_{ac}^n = C_n$ and $Z_{ac} = C$. For each n, we set $Z_{sa}^n := iZ_{ac}^n \cap -iZ_{ac}^n$ and $Z_+^n := Z_{sa}^n \cap Z_{ac}^n$. We refer to the elements of Z_{ac}^n, Z_{sa}^n and Z_+^n as the **accretive**, **self-adjoint** and **positive** elements (respectively).*

By Lemma 2.3, we see that whenever (Z, Z_{ac}) is an accretive matrix-ordered vector space, $\cap_{k=0}^{3} i^k Z_{ac}^n = \{0\}$ for each n. The following example motivates the above definition.

Example 2.5. *Let $Z \subset B(H)$ be an operator space. Define Z_{ac}^n to be the cone of accretive operators, i.e., $Z_{ac}^n = \{T \in M_n(Z) : Re(T) \geq 0\}$. Then (Z, Z_{ac}) is an accretive matrix-ordered vector space. For, if $\pm Re(T), \pm Re(iT) \geq 0$, then $Re(T) = Im(T) = 0$, and hence, $T = 0$. If $T \in Z_{sa}^n$, then $Im(T) = 0$, so $T = Re(T)$ is a self-adjoint operator. If $T \in Z_+^n$, then $T = Re(T) \geq 0$, so T is a positive operator.*

The following lemma shows that the self-adjoint part of an accretive matrix-ordered vector space naturally possesses the structure of a matrix-ordered $*$-vector space (as defined in section 1).

Lemma 2.6. *Let (Z, Z_{ac}) be an accretive matrix-ordered vector space, and let $V =$* $\operatorname{span} Z_{sa}^1$. *Then for each n,* $\operatorname{span} Z_{sa}^n = M_n(V)$ *and there exists a unique conjugate linear involution $* : M_n(V) \to M_n(V)$ such that $z^* = z$ for each $z \in Z_{sa}^n$. Moreover, if $z = [z_{k,l}] \in M_n(V)$, then $z^* = [z_{k,l}^*]^T$. If $z \in M_n(V)$, then $z \in Z_{ac}^n$ if and only if $Re(z) \in Z_+^n$. Moreover, each Z_+^n is proper.*

Proof. By Lemma 2.2, $\operatorname{span} Z_{sa}^n = M_n(V)$. Now, suppose that $z \in M_n(V)$ and that $z = a + ib = c + id$ with $a, b, c, d \in Z_{sa}^n$. Since $a - c = i(d - b)$ and since $a - c, d - b \in Z_{sa}^n$, we see that $a - c \in Z_{sa}^n \cap iZ_{sa}^n = \{0\}$. Hence, $a = c$ and $d = b$. We conclude that each $z \in M_n(V)$ has a unique decomposition as $z = a + ib$ with $a, b \in Z_{sa}^n$. Define $(a+ib)^* = (a-ib)$. Then $*$ is clearly the unique conjugate linear involution on $M_n(V)$ such that $a^* = a$ when $a \in Z_{sa}^n$.

Next, assume that $z = [z_{k,l}] \in M_n(V)$ and that $z = a_1 + ia_2$ with $a_j = [a_{k,l}^j] \in Z_{sa}^n$ for each j. Then the (k, l) entry of z^* is $a_{k,l}^1 - ia_{k,l}^2$. Now,

$$a_{k,l}^j = \frac{1}{2}(a_{k,l}^j + a_{l,k}^j) + \frac{1}{2i}i(a_{k,l}^j - a_{l,k}^j)$$

for each j. By applying Lemma 2.1 to $\{Z_{sa}^m\}$, we see that $a_{k,l}^j + a_{l,k}^j, i(a_{k,l}^j - a_{k,l}^j) \in Z_{sa}^1$ for each j. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}(a_{k,l}^j)^* &= (\frac{1}{2}(a_{k,l}^j + a_{l,k}^j) + \frac{1}{2i}i(a_{k,l}^j - a_{l,k}^j))^* \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(a_{k,l}^j + a_{l,k}^j) - \frac{1}{2i}i(a_{k,l}^j - a_{l,k}^j) = a_{l,k}^j\end{aligned}$$

for each j. So $z_{l,k}^* = (a_{l,k}^1 + ia_{l,k}^2)^* = a_{k,l}^1 - ia_{k,l}^2$. Thus the (k,l) entry of z^* is $z_{l,k}^*$. Therefore $z = [z_{k,l}^*]^T$.

To prove the final claims, let $z \in M_n(V)$. Then $z = Re(z) + iIm(z)$ with $Re(z), Im(z) \in Z_{sa}^n$. Because $\pm iIm(z) \in Z_{ac}^n$, we see that $Re(z) \in Z_{ac}^n$ if and only if $z = Re(z) + iIm(z) \in Z_{ac}^n$. Finally, if $\pm z \in Z_+^n$, then $\pm iz, \pm z \in Z_{ac}^n$, and hence $z = 0$ (since Z_{ac}^n is $\mathbb{C}$-proper). So each Z_+^n is proper. □

Assume that (Z, Z_{ac}) and (W, W_{ac}) are two accretive matrix-ordered vector spaces. We call a map $\phi : Z \to W$ **real-completely positive** if for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\phi^{(n)}(Z_{ac}^n) \subset W_{ac}^n$. If ϕ is one-to-one, and if both ϕ and ϕ^{-1} (restricted to the range of ϕ) are real-completely positive, then we call ϕ a **real-complete order embedding**. A surjective real-complete order embedding is called a **real-complete order isomorphism**.

We call an accretive matrix-ordered vector space **self-adjoint** if $\operatorname{span} Z_{sa}^1 = Z$. We conclude this section by showing that every accretive matrix-ordered vector space is contained in a "smallest" self-adjoint accretive matrix-ordered vector space.

Definition 2.7. *Let (Z, Z_{ac}) be an accretive matrix-ordered vector space. We call a pair $((V, V_{ac}), \phi : Z \to V)$ a $*$-**closure** of (Z, Z_{ac}) provided that (V, V_{ac}) is a self-adjoint accretive matrix-ordered vector space, ϕ is a real-complete order embedding, and $V = \phi(Z) + \phi(Z)^*$ as a $*$-vector space with respect to the unique involution $*$ on V (provided in Lemma 2.6).*

Lemma 2.8. *Suppose that $((V, V_{ac}), \phi)$ is a $*$-closure for (Z, Z_{ac}). Then $\phi^{(n)}(x) + \phi^{(n)}(y)^* \in V_{ac}^n$ if and only if $x + y \in Z_{ac}^n$. Moreover, $\phi^{(n)}(x) + \phi^{(n)}(y)^* = 0$ if and only if $x, y \in \operatorname{span} Z_{sa}^n$ and $y = -x^*$.*

Proof. First, notice that $\phi^{(n)}(x) + \phi^{(n)}(y)^* \in V_{ac}^n$ if and only if $Re(\phi^{(n)}(x) + \phi^{(n)}(y)^*) \in V_+^n$ by Lemma 2.6. But $Re(\phi^{(n)}(x) + \phi^{(n)}(y)^*) = Re(\phi^{(n)}(x) + \phi^{(n)}(y))$. So $\phi^{(n)}(x) + \phi^{(n)}(y)^* \in V_{ac}^n$ if and only if $x + y \in Z_{ac}^n$, since ϕ is a real-complete order embedding. Now, assume that $\phi(x) + \phi(y)^* = 0$. Since $i(\phi(x) + \phi(y)^*) = \phi(ix) - \phi(iy)^*$, this implies that $\pm(x + y), \pm i(x - y) \in Z_{ac}^n$. Hence, $(x - y), i(x + y) \in Z_{sa}^n$. Since $x = \frac{1}{2}(x - y) + \frac{1}{2i}i(x + y)$, we see that $x \in \operatorname{span} Z_{sa}^n$ and $y = -x^*$. On the other hand, if $y = -x^*$, then $\pm Re(x + y) = \pm Re(i(x - y)) = 0$, and hence $\pm(\phi^{(n)}(x) + \phi^{(n)}(y)), \pm i(\phi^{(n)}(x) + \phi^{(n)}(y)^*) = 0$. So $\phi^{(n)}(x) + \phi^{(n)}(y)^* = 0$, since V_{ac}^n is $\mathbb{C}$-proper. □

The following theorem shows that every accretive matrix-ordered vector space has a unique $*$-closure.

Theorem 2.9. *Let (Z, Z_{ac}) be an accretive matrix-ordered vector space. Then there exists a $*$-closure $((V, V_{ac}), \phi)$. Moreover, if $((V', V'_{ac}), \psi)$ is some other $*$-closure of (Z, Z_{ac}), then there exists a real-complete order isomorphism $j : V \to V'$.*

Proof. Define $Z \times Z^*$ to be the vector space $\{(x, y) : x, y \in Z\}$ with entry-wise addition and scalar multiplication defined by $\lambda(x, y) = (\lambda x, \overline{\lambda} y)$. Let $C_n = \{(x, y) \in Z \times Z^* : x + y \in Z_{ac}^n\}$. Then $C = \{C_n\}$ is a matrix cone in $Z \times Z^*$. Let $J = \cap_{k=0}^3 i^k C_1$. By Lemma 2.3, J is a $\mathbb{C}$-subspace of $Z \times Z^*$, and $M_n(J) = \cap_{k=0}^3 i^k C_n$.

Let $V = (Z \times Z^*)/J$ and identify $M_n(V)$ with $M_n(Z \times Z^*)/M_n(J)$. Set $V_{ac}^n = \{z + M_n(J) : z \in C_n\}$. Then $V_{ac} = \{V_{ac}^n\}$ is a matrix cone in V. Moreover, V_{ac} is $\mathbb{C}$-proper, since $\cap_{k=0}^3 i^k V_{ac}^n = \{M_n(J)\}$. So (V, V_{ac}) is an accretive matrix-ordered vector space.

We'll write $[(x, y)]$ for the coset $(x, y) + M_n(J)$. To see that V is self-adjoint, let $[(x, y)] \in M_n(V)$. Then

$$[(x, y)] = \frac{1}{2}[(x, y) + (y, x)] + \frac{1}{2i} i[(x, y) - (y, x)]. \tag{1}$$

Now,

$$\pm i[(x, y) + (y, x)] = \pm[(ix + iy, -iy - ix)] \in V_{ac}^1$$

and

$$\pm[(x, y) - (y, x)] = \pm[(x - y, y - x)] \in V_{ac}^1,$$

since $(ix + iy) + (-iy - ix) = 0 \in Z_{ac}^1$ and $(x - y) + (y - x) = 0 \in Z_{ac}^1$. So

$$[(x, y) + (y, x)], i[(x, y) - (y, x)] \in V_{sa}.$$

Hence, $V = \operatorname{span} V_{sa}^1$.

Define $\phi : Z \to V$ by $\phi(z) = [(z, 0)]$. Note that $\phi(z) = [(0, 0)]$ implies that $(z, 0) \in J$, i.e., $\pm z, \pm iz \in Z_{ac}^n$. But this only occurs when $z = 0$. So ϕ is one-to-one. Moreover, $[(z, 0)] \in V_{ac}^n$ if and only if $z = z + 0 \in Z_{ac}^n$, so ϕ is a real-complete order embedding. Also, equation (1) above implies that $\phi^{(n)}(z)^* = [(0, z)]$ and hence $[(x, y)] = \phi^{(n)}(x) + \phi^{(n)}(y)^*$ for each $x, y \in Z$. So $V = \phi(Z) + \phi(Z)^*$.

Finally, if (V', ψ) is some other $*$-closure, define $j : V \to V'$ by $j(\phi(x) + \phi(y)^*) = \psi(x) + \psi(y)^*$. By Lemma 2.8, $\psi(x) + \psi(y)^* = 0$ if and only if $y = -x^*$ in Z. But this occurs if and only if $\phi(x) + \phi(y)^* = 0$. Hence, j is well-defined. Also, j is a real-complete order isomorphism, since $\phi^{(n)}(x) + \phi^{(n)}(y)^* \in V_{ac}^n$ if and only if $x + y \in Z_{ac}^n$, which occurs if and only if $\psi^{(n)}(x) + \psi^{(n)}(y)^* \in (V')_{ac}^n$. □

3. Unital Operator Spaces

In this section, we briefly demonstrate an abstract characterization for unital operator spaces, i.e., subspaces of $B(H)$ containing the identity operator I_H. We regard such subspaces abstractly as accretive matrix-ordered vector spaces with a specified unit e. We begin by axiomatizing the role played by the unit with respect to the accretive order structure.

Let (Z, Z_{ac}) be an accretive matrix-ordered vector space. We call $e \in Z_{sa}^1$ an **accretive matrix-order unit** if for each $z \in M_n(Z)$ there is a $t > 0$ such that $te \otimes I_n + z \in Z_{ac}^n$. We call e an **accretive archimedean matrix-order unit** if $te \otimes I_n + z \in Z_{ac}^n$ for all $t > 0$ implies that $z \in Z_{ac}^n$. In this case, we call the triple (Z, Z_{ac}, e) an **accretive archimedean matrix-order unit space**.

Proposition 3.1. *Let (Z, Z_{ac}, e) be an accretive archimedean matrix-order unit space, and let $V = \operatorname{span} Z_{sa}^1$ and $V_+ = \{Z_+^n\}$. Then (V, V_+, e) is an archimedean matrix-order unit space.*

Proof. By Lemma 2.6, (V, V_+) is a matrix-ordered $*$-vector space. Since e is self-adjoint and $x \in V_+^n$ if and only if $x \in Z_{ac}^n$ and x is self-adjoint, it is easy to verify that e is an archimedean matrix-order unit for (V, V_+). □

Corollary 3.2. *Let (V, V_{ac}, e) be a self-adjoint accretive archimedean matrix-order unit space. Then there exists a Hilbert space H and a real-complete order embedding $\phi : V \to B(H)$.*

Proof. By Lemma 2.6 and Proposition 3.1, V is an archimedean matrix-order unit space. By Theorem 1.2, there exists $\phi : V \to B(H)$ which is a self-adjoint complete order embedding. Since $z \in V_{ac}^n$ if and only if $Re(z) \in V_+^n$ by Lemma 2.6, it is clear that ϕ is a real-complete order embedding. □

We now consider the non-self-adjoint case.

Proposition 3.3. *Let (Z, Z_{ac}, e) be an accretive archimedean matrix-order unit space, let $((V, V_{ac}), \psi)$ be the $*$-closure of Z. Then $f = \psi(e)$ is an accretive archimedean matrix-order unit for (V, V_{ac}).*

Proof. Writing the elements of V as $\phi(x) + \phi(y)^*$ for $x, y \in Z$, we have $\phi^{(n)}(x) + \phi^{(n)}(y)^* \in V_{ac}^n$ if and only if $x+y \in Z_{ac}^n$ by Lemma 2.8. For arbitrary $x, y \in M_n(Z)$, we may choose $t \geq 0$ such that $te \otimes I_n + x + y \in Z_{ac}^n$, and hence $tf \otimes I_n + \phi^{(n)}(x) + \phi^{(n)}(y)^* \in V_{ac}^n$. So f is an accretive matrix-order unit. If $tf \otimes I_n + \phi^{(n)}(x) + \phi^{(n)}(y)^* \in V_{ac}^n$ for all $t > 0$, then $te \otimes I_n + x + y \in Z_{ac}^n$ for all $t > 0$ and hence $x + y \in Z_{ac}^n$. Consequently, $\phi^{(n)}(x) + \phi^{(n)}(y)^* \in V_{ac}^n$. So f is archimedean. □

We now state the main result of this section.

Theorem 3.4. *Let (Z, Z_{ac}^n, e) be an accretive archimedean matrix-order unit space. Then there exists a Hilbert space H and a unital real-complete order embedding $\phi : Z \to B(H)$. Moreover, ϕ is completely isometric with respect to the norm*

$$\|z\|_n = \inf\{t > 0 : Re(\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 2z \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}) \leq te \otimes I_{2n}\}.$$

Proof. The map ϕ is obtained by composing the maps from Corollary 3.2 and Proposition 3.3. The observation that the map ϕ in Theorem 3.4 satisfies $\|z\|_n = \|\phi^{(n)}(z)\|_{B(H^n)}$ follows from Lemma 3.1 of [17] (see also Proposition 13.3 of [17]). □

4. Matrix gauge spaces

In this section, we will arrive at the main result of this paper (Theorem 4.8). Our goal here is to characterize the (possibly non-unital) subspaces of $B(H)$ as matrix gauge spaces. Let Z be a $\mathbb{C}$-vector space. We call a sequence of functions $\nu = \{\nu_n : M_n(Z) \to [0, \infty)\}$ a **matrix-compatible function** provided that for each $A \in M_n(Z), B \in M_m(Z)$, and each scalar matrix $X \in M_{n,k}$, the following conditions hold.

(1) $\nu_k(X^*AX) \leq \|X\|^2 \nu_n(A)$.
(2) $\nu_{n+m}(A \oplus B) = \max\{\nu_n(A), \nu_m(B)\}$.

Lemma 4.1. *Let ν be a matrix-compatible function on a $\mathbb{C}$-vector space Z. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let*

$$C_n = \{z \in M_n(V) : \nu_n(z) = 0\}, J_n = C_n \cap -C_n \cap iC_n \cap -iC_n.$$

Then $\{C_n\}$ is a matrix cone in Z, each J_n is a $\mathbb{C}$-vector space, and $J_n = M_n(J_1)$.

Proof. Let $x, y \in C_n$. Since $x + y = \begin{bmatrix} I_n & I_n \end{bmatrix} (x \oplus y) \begin{bmatrix} I_n & I_n \end{bmatrix}^*$, we have

$$\nu_n(x + y) \leq \| \begin{bmatrix} I_n & I_n \end{bmatrix} \|^2 \max\{\nu_n(x), \nu_n(y)\} = 0.$$

So $x + y \in C_n$. Also, for each $t \geq 0$, $\nu_n(tx) = \nu_n((t^{1/2} I_n)x(t^{1/2} I_n)) \leq t\nu_n(x) = 0$. So $tx \in C_n$. Hence, C_n is a cone. If $X \in M_{n,k}$, then for each $A \in C_n$ we have $\nu_k(X^*AX) \leq \|X\|^2 \nu_n(A) = 0$. Hence, $X^*AX \in C_k$. So $\{C_m\}$ is a matrix cone. The statements concerning J_n follow from Lemma 2.3. □

Let Z be a $\mathbb{C}$-vector space. We call a map $\nu : Z \to [0, \infty)$ a **gauge** provided that for each $x, y \in Z$ and $t \geq 0$ the following hold.

(1) $\nu(x+y) \leq \nu(x) + \nu(y)$.
(2) $\nu(tx) = t\nu(x)$.

If $\nu = \{\nu_n\}$ is a matrix-compatible function and each ν_n is a gauge, we call ν a **matrix gauge**, and we call the pair (Z, ν) a **matrix gauge space**.

Matrix gauges were defined by Effros and Winkler in [12], where they were regarded as a generalizations of Minkowski gauges for matrix-convex sets in Z. When the corresponding matrix-convex set fails to be absorbing, the gauges may take on the value ∞. However, this will not be the case for the gauges we consider.

We call a gauge ν on a vector space Z $\mathbb{C}$**-proper** if whenever $z \in Z$ and $\nu(i^k z) = 0$ for each $k \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$, we have $z = 0$. We call a matrix gauge ν $\mathbb{C}$**-proper** if ν_1 is $\mathbb{C}$-proper. By Lemma 4.1, each ν_n is $\mathbb{C}$-proper whenever ν_1 is $\mathbb{C}$-proper.

Example 4.2. *Let $Z \subset B(H)$ be an operator space. For each $T \in M_n(Z)$, define*

$$\nu_n(T) = \|(Re(T))_+\|.$$

Then $\nu = \{\nu_n\}$ is a $\mathbb{C}$-proper matrix gauge on Z.

We will prove in Lemma 4.7 that the gauge in Example 4.2 is a $\mathbb{C}$-proper matrix gauge. We will then show in Theorem 4.8 that whenever (Z, ν) is a matrix gauge space with a $\mathbb{C}$-proper gauge there exists a representation of Z as a subspace of $B(H)$, as in Example 4.2. Our strategy will be to show that each matrix gauge space embeds into a unital operator space.

Definition 4.3. *Let (Z, ν) be a matrix gauge space with $\mathbb{C}$-proper gauge. Define $\tilde{Z} = Z \times \mathbb{C}$ with entry-wise addition and scalar multiplication. Identifying $M_n(\tilde{Z})$ with $M_n(Z) \times M_n$, define for each $(A, X) \in M_n(\tilde{Z})$*

$$u_n(A, X) = \inf\{t > 0 : X_t \gg 0, \nu_n(X_t^{-1/2} A X_t^{-1/2}) \leq 1\}$$

where $X_t := tI_n - Re(X)$, and $X_t \gg 0$ means that $\sigma(X_t) \subset (0, \infty)$. We call $(\tilde{Z}, u)$ the ***unitization*** *of (Z, ν).*

In the next three lemmas, we will show that the matrix gauge u in Definition 4.3 is a $\mathbb{C}$-proper matrix gauge. We will write $(A, X) \oplus (B, Y)$ to mean $(A \oplus B, X \oplus Y)$ and $T^*(A, X)T$ to mean (T^*AT, T^*XT) for scalar matrices X, Y, T and non-scalar matrices A and B.

Lemma 4.4. *Let (Z, ν) be a matrix gauge space and $(\tilde{Z}, u)$ its unitization. Then each u_n is well-defined and the family $\{u_m\}$ is a matrix-compatible function on $\tilde{Z}$.*

Proof. For each scalar matrix $X \in M_n$ there is a $t > 0$ such that $X_t \gg 0$, since $\sigma(X_t) = t - \sigma(ReX)$. Also, when $X_t \gg 0$, $\|X_t^{-1}\|$ is λ^{-1}, where λ the smallest eigenvalue of X_t. Thus, when $A \in M_n(Z)$ and $\nu_n(A) \neq 0$ we can choose $t > 0$ large enough that $\|X_t^{-1}\| \leq \nu_n(A)^{-1}$, and thus $\nu_n(X_t^{-1/2} A X_t^{-1/2}) \leq \|X_t^{-1}\| \nu_n(A) \leq 1$. If $\nu_n(A) = 0$, then $\nu_n(X_t^{-1/2} A X_t^{-1/2}) \leq \|X_t^{-1}\| \nu_n(A) = 0$ for all t. It follows that $u_n(A, X)$ is well defined.

Now, let $A \in M_n(Z), B \in M_k(Z), X \in M_n$, and $Y \in M_k$. To see that $u_{n+k}(A \oplus B, X \oplus Y) = \max\{u_n(A, X), u_k(B, Y)\}$, notice that $(X \oplus Y)_t \gg 0$ if and only if $X_t \gg 0$ and $Y_t \gg 0$, since $\sigma((X \oplus Y)_t) = \sigma(X_t) \cup \sigma(Y_t)$. Also,

$$\nu_{n+k}((X \oplus Y)_t^{-1/2} (A \oplus B)(X \oplus Y)_t^{-1/2})$$

is equal to

$$\max\{\nu_n(X_t^{-1/2} A X_t^{-1/2}), \nu_k(Y_t^{-1/2} B Y_t^{-1/2})\}$$

since

$$(X \oplus Y)_t^{-1/2} (A \oplus B)(X \oplus Y)_t^{-1/2} = X_t^{-1/2} A X_t^{-1/2} \oplus Y_t^{-1/2} B Y_t^{-1/2}.$$

Hence,

$$\nu_{n+k}((X \oplus Y)_t^{-1/2}(A \oplus B)(X \oplus Y)_t^{-1/2}) \leq 1$$

if and only if $\nu_n(X_t^{-1/2}AX_t^{-1/2}) \leq 1$ and $\nu_k(Y_t^{-1/2}BY_t^{-1/2}) \leq 1$.

Finally, we show that $u_k(T^*(A,X)T) \leq \|T\|^2 u_n(A,X)$ whenever $T \in M_{n,k}$ and $A \in M_n(Z), X \in M_n$. Set $B = T^*AT$ and $Y = T^*XT$. To prove the claim, it is enough to show that for each $t > u_n(A,X)$, $Y_r \gg 0$ and $\nu_k(Y_r^{-1/2}BY_r^{-1/2}) \leq 1$, where $r = \|T\|^2 t$. That is, for each $t > u_n(A,X)$, $\|T\|^2 t > u_k(B,Y)$. Choose $t > 0$ such that $X_t \gg 0$ and $\nu_n(X_t^{-1/2}AX_t^{-1/2}) \leq 1$. Then $Y_r \geq 0$, since

$$Y_r = \|T\|^2 t I_n - Re(T^*XT) \geq tT^*T - T^*Re(X)T = T^*(X_t)T.$$

Since this holds for all $t > u_n(A,X)$, we may assume that $Y_r \gg 0$. Let $W = X_t^{1/2}TY_r^{-1/2}$. Then $Y_r^{-1/2}BY_r^{-1/2} = W^*X_t^{-1/2}AX_t^{-1/2}W$. Also,

$$\begin{aligned} \|W\|^2 &= \|W^*W\| \\ &= \|Y_r^{-1/2}(T^*X_tT)Y_r^{-1/2}\| \\ &\leq \|Y_r^{-1/2}Y_rY_r^{-1/2}\| = 1 \end{aligned}$$

since $0 \leq T^*X_tT \leq Y_r$, as shown above. Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} \nu_k(Y_r^{-1/2}BY_r^{-1/2}) &= \nu_k(W^*X_t^{-1/2}AX_t^{-1/2}W) \\ &\leq \|W\|^2\nu_n(X_t^{-1/2}AX_t^{-1/2}) \\ &\leq 1. \end{aligned}$$

This proves the final claim. □

Lemma 4.5. *Let (Z, Z_{ac}, e) be an accretive archimedean matrix-order unit space. For each $z \in M_n(Z)$, define $\nu_e^n(z) := \inf\{t > 0 : te \otimes I_n - z \in Z_{ac}^n\}$ and set $\nu_e = \{\nu_e^n\}$. Then (Z, ν_e) is a matrix gauge space. Moreover, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$,*

$$Z_{ac}^n = \{z \in M_n(Z) : \nu_{e,n}(-z) = 0\}.$$

Proof. By Theorem 3.4, we may identify Z with a unital subspace of $B(H)$ for some Hilbert space H. Under this identification, we have

$$\nu_e^n(z) = \inf\{t > 0 : Re(z) \leq tI_H \otimes I_n\}.$$

Fix $A \in M_n(Z)$, $B \in M_m(Z)$, and $X \in M_{n,k}$. Since $Re(A \oplus B) = Re(A) \oplus Re(B)$, we see that $Re(A \oplus B) \leq tI_H \otimes I_{n+m}$ if and only if $Re(A) \leq tI_H \otimes I_n$ and $Re(B) \leq tI_H \otimes I_m$. Also, if $Re(A) \leq tI_H \otimes I_n$ then $Re(X^*AX) \leq t\|X^2\|I_H \otimes I_k$. For, if $h \in H^k$ with $\|h\|^2 = 1$, then

$$\langle Re(X^*AX)h, h\rangle = \langle Re(A)Xh, Xh\rangle \leq \|Xh\|^2 t \leq \|X\|^2 t.$$

So $\{\nu_e^n\}$ is a matrix-compatible function. It is easily verified that each ν_e^n is a gauge and that $z \in M_n(Z)$ if and only if $\nu_e^n(-z) = 0$. Since Z_{ac} is $\mathbb{C}$-proper, it follows that $\{\nu_e^n\}$ is $\mathbb{C}$-proper, completing the proof. □

Let (Z, ν) and (W, ω) be two matrix gauge spaces. We call a map $\phi : Z \to W$ **completely gauge contractive** if for each $z \in M_n(Z)$ we have $\omega_n(\phi^{(n)}(z)) \leq \nu_n(z)$. We call ϕ **completely gauge isometric** if for each $z \in M_n(Z)$ we have $\omega_n(\phi^{(n)}(z)) = \nu_n(z)$.

Lemma 4.6. *Let (Z, ν) be a matrix gauge space and $(\tilde{Z}, u)$ its unitization. Set $\tilde{Z}_{ac}^n = \{(A,X) : u_n(-A,-X) = 0\}$. Then $(\tilde{Z}, \tilde{Z}_{ac}, e)$ is an accretive archimedean matrix-order unit space for $e = (0,1)$, and*

$$u_n(A,X) = \inf\{t > 0 : te \otimes I_n - (A,X) \in \tilde{Z}_{ac}^n\}$$

for each $A \in M_n(Z)$, $X \in M_n$. Consequently, $(\tilde{Z}, u)$ is a matrix gauge space. Moreover, the mapping $z \mapsto (z, 0)$ from Z to $\tilde{Z}$ is completely gauge isometric.

Proof. We first show that $u_n(A, 0) = \nu_n(A)$ for each $A \in M_n(Z)$. As $tI_n = 0_t \gg 0$ for every $t > 0$, we have $u_n(A, 0) = \inf\{t > 0 : \nu_n(t^{-1}A) \leq 1\} = \nu_n(A)$.

Set $C_n = \{(A, X) \in M_n(\tilde{Z}) : u_n(A, X) = 0\}$. By Lemma 4.1, $C = \{C_n\}$ is a matrix cone. To see that C is $\mathbb{C}$-proper, it suffices to show that u_1 is $\mathbb{C}$-proper, by Lemma 4.1 . To this end, let $z \in Z, \lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, and assume that $u_1(i^k z, i^k \lambda) = 0$ for each $k \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$. Then for every $t > 0$, $(i^k \lambda)_t = t - Re(i^k \lambda) > 0$. Hence, $Re(\lambda), Im(\lambda) = 0$, so $\lambda = 0$. Since $u_1(z, 0) = \nu_1(z)$ and since ν is $\mathbb{C}$-proper, we see that $z = 0$. So u_1 is $\mathbb{C}$-proper, and hence C is a $\mathbb{C}$-proper matrix cone. Now set $\tilde{Z}^n_{ac} = -C_n$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $\tilde{Z}_{ac} = \{\tilde{Z}^n_{ac}\}$ is a $\mathbb{C}$-proper matrix cone. We now show that

$$u_n(A, X) = \inf\{t > 0 : t(0, I_n) - (A, X) \in \tilde{Z}^n_{ac}\}. \tag{2}$$

Now, $t(0, I_n) - (A, X) \in \tilde{Z}^n_{ac}$ if and only if $u_n(A, X - tI_n) = 0$. This holds if and only if there is a sequence $r_k \downarrow 0$ such that $(X - tI_n)_{r_k} = (t + r_k)I_n - Re(X) \gg 0$, and

$$\nu_n((X - tI_n)^{-1/2}_{r_k} A (X - tI_n)^{-1/2}_{r_k}) \leq 1$$

for all k. Equivalently, by setting $s_k = r_k + t$, we see that $s_k \downarrow t$, $X_{s_k} \gg 0$, and

$$\nu_n((X)^{-1/2}_{s_k} A (X)^{-1/2}_{s_k}) \leq 1$$

for all k. Hence, $t(0, I_n) - (A, X) \in \tilde{Z}^n_{ac}$ if and only if $u_n(A, X) \leq t$. The claim follows. From Equation (2) above, it is easy to check that $(0, 1)$ is an accretive archimedean matrix-order unit for $\tilde{Z}$, completing the proof. □

Before stating our main result, we prove one final lemma.

Lemma 4.7. *Let H be a Hilbert space and let Z be an operator space in $B(H)$. Then for each $z \in M_n(Z)$, $\inf\{t > 0 : tI_H \otimes I_n - z \in Z^n_{ac}\} = \|Re(z)_+\|_{B(H^n)}$ and $\|z\|_{B(H^n)} = \|Re(y)_+\|_{B(H^{2n})}$ for*

$$y = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 2z \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \in B(H^{2n}).$$

Proof. Let $a = Re(z)$, and identify a with the function $f_a : x \mapsto x$ on $\sigma(a) \subset \mathbb{R}$ in the unital C^*-algebra generated by a. Then a_+ is identified with the function $(f_a)_+$ which equals f_a when $x \geq 0$ and equals zero otherwise. Identifying $I_H \otimes I_n$ with the function $I(x) = 1$, we see that $\|(f_a)_+\|_\infty \leq t$ if and only if $(f_a)_+ \leq tI$. The final observation is now immediate from Theorem 3.4. □

We now arrive at our main result, which follows immediately from Theorem 3.4, Lemma 4.6, and Lemma 4.7. We regard $B(H)$ as a matrix gauge space by equipping it with the matrix gauge defined in Example 4.2.

Theorem 4.8. *Let (Z, ν) be a matrix gauge space with a $\mathbb{C}$-proper gauge. Then there exists a Hilbert space H and a completely gauge isometric linear map $\phi : Z \to B(H)$. Moreover, ϕ is completely isometric with respect to the norm defined by*

$$\|z\|_n = \nu_{2n}(\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 2z \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix})$$

and a real-complete order embedding with respect to the accretive cones defined by setting $Z^n_{ac} = \{z : \nu_n(-z) = 0\}$.

5. Representations of ordered operator spaces

In this section, we consider the problem of representing an abstract operator space (in the sense of Theorem 1.4) equipped with a compatible order structure as a subspace of $B(H)$ for some Hilbert space H. We will demonstrate a simple compatibility condition that is required for such representations to exist.

We begin with the non-self-adjoint case. Let Z be a $\mathbb{C}$-vector space. We call a matrix gauge $h = \{h_n\}$ a **hermitian matrix gauge** if h is $\mathbb{C}$-proper and if for each $z \in M_n(Z)$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$, we have $h_n(tz) = |t|h_n(z)$. In other words, a hermitian matrix gauge is a $\mathbb{C}$-proper gauge for which h_n is a $\mathbb{R}$-seminorm on $M_n(Z)$ (i.e., a seminorm on the $\mathbb{R}$-vector space $M_n(Z)$ obtained by forgetting the $\mathbb{C}$-vector space structure).

Let (Z, Z_{ac}) be an accretive matrix-ordered vector space with a hermitian matrix gauge h. We call Z_{ac} **h-closed** if whenever $\{z_k\}$ is a sequence in Z_{ac}^n and $h_n(z_k - z) \to 0$ for some $z \in M_n(Z)$, we have $z \in Z_{ac}^n$. We call (Z, Z_{ac}, h) a **normal accretive operator space** if Z_{ac} is h-closed and if whenever $y - x, z - y \in Z_{ac}^n$, we have $h_n(y) \leq \max\{h_n(x), h_n(z)\}$.

Example 5.1. *Let $Z \subset B(H)$ be an operator space and let Z_{ac} be the matrix cone of accretive operators. For each and $z \in M_n(Z)$, define $h_n(z) = \|Re(z)\|$. Then (Z, Z_{ac}^n, h) is normal accretive operator space. For, Z_{ac} is easily seen to be h-closed, and if $y - x, z - y \in Z_{ac}^n$, then $Re(x) \leq Re(y) \leq Re(z)$, and hence, $\|Re(y)\| \leq \max\{\|Re(x)\|, \|Re(z)\|\}$.*

We will show below that every normal accretive operator space has a representation as in Example 5.1. Our strategy will be to show that normal accretive operator spaces can be replaced with matrix gauge spaces. We will then apply Theorem 4.8. To this end, we first show how to define the matrix gauge.

Definition 5.2. *Let (Z, Z_{ac}, h) be a normal accretive operator space. For each $z \in M_n(Z)$, define $\nu_{max}^n(z) = \inf\{h_n(z + p) : p \in Z_{ac}^n\}$. We call $\nu_{max} = \{\nu_{max}^n\}$ the **maximal matrix gauge for** Z.*

We say that a matrix gauge ν **induces** (Z, Z_{ac}, h), a (necessarily) normal accretive operator space, if for each $z \in M_n(Z)$,

$$Z_{ac}^n = \{z : \nu_n(-z) = 0\}, h_n(z) = \max\{\nu_n(z), \nu_n(-z)\}.$$

We will see in Proposition 5.9 that the maximal matrix gauge for a normal accretive operator space Z is its largest possible inducing matrix gauge.

Proposition 5.3. *Let (Z, Z_{ac}, h) be a normal accretive operator space. Then ν_{max} is a $\mathbb{C}$-proper inducing matrix gauge on (Z, Z_{ac}, h).*

Proof. Since Z_{ac} is h-closed, it is clear from the definition of ν_{max} that ν_{max} induces Z_{ac}. Also, since $0 \in Z_{ac}^n$, it is clear that $\max\{\nu_{max}^n(z), \nu_{max}^n(-z)\} \leq h_n(z)$. Now, if $p, q \in Z_{ac}^n$ and $z \in M_n(Z)$, then $z - (z - q), (z + p) - z \in Z_{ac}^n$. Hence, $h_n(z) \leq \max\{h_n(q - z), h_n(z + p)\}$. By taking an infimum over all $p, q \in Z_{ac}^n$, we see that

$$h_n(z) \leq \max\{\nu_{max}^n(z), \nu_{max}^n(-z)\}.$$

Finally, if $\nu_{max}^n(i^k z) = 0$ for all $k \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$, then $i^k z \in Z_{ac}^n$ for each k. Since Z_{ac} is $\mathbb{C}$-proper, $z = 0$ in this case. Hence, ν_{max} is $\mathbb{C}$-proper. □

Theorem 5.4. *Let (Z, Z_{ac}, h) be a normal accretive operator space. Then there exists a Hilbert space H and a real-complete order embedding $\phi : Z \to B(H)$ such that for each $z \in M_n(Z)$, $h_n(z) = \|Re(\phi^{(n)}(z))\|$.*

Proof. Combine Proposition 5.3 and Theorem 4.8 along with the observation that $\|Re(T)\| = \max\{\|Re(T)_+\|, \|Re(T)_-\|\}$ in any C^*-algebra. □

We now consider the self-adjoint case. Let V be a $*$-vector space. We call $(V, \{\|\cdot\|_n\})$ a **$*$-operator space** if $(V, \{\|\cdot\|_n\})$ is an L^∞ matrix-normed space and if $\|x^*\|_n = \|x\|_n$ for each $x \in M_n(V)$. If (V, V_+) is a matrix-ordered $*$-vector space, then $(V, V_+, \{\|\cdot\|_n\})$ is called a **matrix-ordered $*$-operator space** if each cone V_+^n is closed with respect to $\|\cdot\|_n$. We call $(V, V_+, \{\|\cdot\|_n\})$ **normal** if whenever $x, y, z \in V_{sa}^n$ satisfy $x \leq y \leq z$, we have $\|y\|_n \leq \max\{\|x\|_n, \|z\|_n\}$.

Example 5.5. *Let $V \subset B(H)$ be a self-adjoint subspace, and let V_+ be the matrix-ordering of positive operators. Then $(V, V_+, \{\|\cdot\|_n\})$ is a normal matrix-ordered $*$-operator space.*

Before moving on, we briefly recall some history. Matrix-ordered $*$-operator spaces were considered by Werner in [22]. It was shown that to each matrix-ordered $*$-operator space, there exists a complete order embedding into $B(H)$. In general, this embedding need not be isometric. In fact, it was shown in [4] that the operator space dual A' of a C^*-algebra A of dimension at least 2 possesses the structure of a matrix-ordered $*$-operator space - however, no order embedding of A' into $B(H)$ is isometric. This failure is due to the fact that A' is not normal (to see this, consider the Jordan decomposition of a functional on a C^*-algebra). We now show that completely isometric representations are possible when the space is normal.

Theorem 5.6. *Let $(V, V_+, \{\|\cdot\|_n\})$ be a normal matrix-ordered $*$-operator space. Then there exists a Hilbert space H and a self-adjoint complete order embedding $\phi : V \to B(H)$ which is completely isometric.*

Proof. Define V_{ac} by setting $V_{ac}^n = \{x \in M_n(V) : x + x^* \in V_+^n\}$, and for each $x \in M_n(V)$, define $h_n(x) = \|Re(x)\|_n$, where $Re(x) = \frac{1}{2}(x + x^*)$. We leave it to the reader to verify that (V, V_{ac}, h) is a normal accretive operator space. By Theorem 5.4, there exists a Hilbert space H and a real-complete order embedding $\phi : V \to B(H)$ with $h_n(x) = \|Re(\phi^{(n)}(x))\|_n$. Since

$$\|x\|_n = \| \begin{bmatrix} 0 & x \\ x^* & 0 \end{bmatrix} \|_{2n} = h_{2n}(\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 2x \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix})$$

we see that ϕ is completely isometric. Since every real-complete order embedding is automatically a self-adjoint complete order embedding, we are done. □

Proposition 5.3 shows that a normal accretive operator space (Z, Z_{ac}, h) is induced by its maximal gauge ν_{max}. The following examples show that ν_{max} is not, in general, the only gauge which induces (Z, Z_{ac}, h). Recall the gauge ν_e defined in Lemma 4.5. It follows easily from Lemma 4.5 that ν_e induces any unital accretive operator space (as well as its non-unital subspaces).

Example 5.7. *Let $V = \text{span}\{x := (-2, 0, 1)\} \subset \mathbb{C}^3$, where $\mathbb{C}^3$ is regarded as the diagonal of $M_3 = B(\mathbb{C}^3)$. Then $\nu_{max}^1(x) = 2$ whereas $\nu_e^1(x) = 1$. For,*

$$\nu_{max}^1(x) = \inf\{\|(-2, 0, 1) + p\| : p \in V_+^1\} = 2$$

since $V_+^1 = \{0\}$, while $\nu_e^1(x) = \inf\{t > 0 : (-2, 0, 1) \leq t(1, 1, 1)\} = 1$.

In the above example, the fact that $V_+^1 = \{0\}$ forced the maximal gauge to be different from the order unit gauge. The next example illustrates that even when V_+^1 spans V the maximal gauge may not be unique.

Example 5.8. *Fix $n > 2$. Let $V = \text{span}\{x := (2, n, 0), y := (0, n, 1)\} \subset \mathbb{C}^3$. Then $\nu_e^1(y - x) = 1$, whereas $\nu_{max}^1(y - x) = \frac{2n}{n+2}$. To see this, notice that $p \in V_+$ if and only if $p = rx + sy$ for some $r, s \geq 0$. Set $m(r, s) = \max(|2r - 2|, |n(r + s)|, |1 + s|)$. Then $\nu_{max}^1(y - x) = \inf\{m(r, s) : r, s \geq 0\}$. Clearly $m(r, s) \leq m(r, s')$ whenever $s \leq s'$, so we may assume $s = 0$. Hence, we seek $r \geq 0$ minimizing $m(r, 0) =$*

$\max(|2r-2|, nr, 1)$. *This is achieved at* $r = \frac{2}{n+2}$. *Since* $n > 2$, *we see that* $\nu^1_{max}(y-x) = m(\frac{2}{n+2}, 0) = \frac{2n}{n+2}$.

We will show in Theorem 6.9 that uniqueness of the inducing gauge is equivalent to a fundamental extension property for operator spaces. While inducing gauges may not be unique, every inducing gauge is bounded above by ν_{max}.

Proposition 5.9. *Let* (Z, Z_{ac}, h) *be a normal matrix-ordered operator space, and suppose that* ν *is an inducing gauge for* Z. *Then for each* $z \in M_n(Z)$, *we have* $\nu_n(z) \leq \nu^n_{max}(z)$.

Proof. Given $z \in M_n(Z)$ and $p \in Z^n_{ac}$, we have that

$$\nu_n(z) \leq \nu_n(z+p) + \nu_n(-p) = \nu_n(z+p) \leq h_n(z+p).$$

The result follows by taking an infimum. □

We conclude this section by demonstrating one situation in which we have a unique inducing gauge.

Proposition 5.10. *Let* (Z, Z_{ac}, e) *be an accretive archimedean matrix-order unit space. For each* $z \in M_n(Z)$, *let*

$$h_n(z) = \inf\{t > 0 : z - te \otimes I_n, te \otimes I_n - z \in Z^n_{ac}\}.$$

Suppose that ν *induces* (Z, Z_{ac}, h). *Then* $\nu = \nu_e$.

Proof. Write $e_n = e \otimes I_n$. First, if $z \in Z^n_{ac}$, then $\nu^n_e(z) = h_n(z) = \nu_n(z)$, and if $-z \in Z^n_{ac}$, then $\nu^n_e(z) = 0 = \nu_n(z)$. So assume that neither z nor $-z$ is in Z^n_{ac}. Let $z' = \nu^n_e(-z)e_n + z$. Note that $z' \in Z^n_{ac}$. Hence, $\nu^n_e(z') = \nu_n(z')$. By the definition of ν_e, $\nu^n_e(z') = \nu^n_e(-z) + \nu^n_e(z)$. Also, $\nu_n(z') \leq \nu^n_e(-z) + \nu_n(z)$. However, $\nu_n(z) \leq \nu^n_e(z)$. For, if $t > 0$ and $te_n - z \in Z^n_{ac}$, then $\nu_n(z) = \nu_n(z - te_n + te_n) \leq \nu_n(te_n) = t$. It follows that $\nu^n_e(-z) + \nu^n_e(z) = \nu^n_e(-z) + \nu_n(z)$, and hence, $\nu_n(z) = \nu^n_e(z)$. □

6. Extension problems

We conclude by considering the problem of extending certain types of operator-valued maps. We first relate completely gauge contractive maps to completely positive, completely contractive, and real-completely positive maps.

Let (V, V_{ac}, h), (W, W_{ac}, h) be normal accretive operator spaces. We call a linear map $\phi : V \to W$ **real-completely contractive** if it is gauge contractive with respect to the h gauges. Such maps are automatically completely contractive and self-adjoint, as $h_n(x) = \|Re(x)\|_n$ in any representation (as in Theorem 5.4). A map which is both real-completely contractive and real-completely positive is called **real-cpcc**.

Proposition 6.1. *Let* (V, ν), (W, ω) *be matrix gauge spaces, and let* (V, V_{ac}, h) *and* (W, W_{ac}, h') *be the corresponding induced accretive operator spaces, respectively. If* $\phi : V \to W$ *is completely gauge contractive, then* ϕ *is real-cpcc. Moreover, if* ϕ *is real-cpcc, then* ϕ *is completely gauge contractive with respect to the gauges* ν_{max} *and* ω.

Proof. First, assume ϕ is completely gauge contractive. If $x \in V^n_{ac}$ then $\nu_n(-x) = 0$. Hence, $\omega_n(-\phi^{(n)}(x)) \leq \nu_n(-x) = 0$. So $\phi^{(n)}(x) \in W^n_{ac}$. So ϕ is real-completely positive. Also, since $\max\{\omega_n(\phi^{(n)}(x)), \omega_n(-\phi^{(n)}(x))\} \leq \max\{\nu_n(x), \nu_n(-x)\}$, we see that ϕ is real-completely contractive.

Now, assume that ϕ is real-cpcc. Then for each $x \in M_n(V)$ and $p \in V^n_{ac}$, we have

$$\omega_n(\phi^{(n)}(x)) \leq \omega_n(\phi^{(n)}(x) + \phi^{(n)}(p)) \leq h_n(x+p).$$

Taking an infimum over all $p \in V^n_{ac}$ completes the proof. □

The following fundamental theorem was demonstrated by Arveson [1].

Theorem 6.2 (Arveson)**.** *Let $S \subset \tilde{S}$ be an inclusion of operator systems, and let $\phi : S \to B(H)$ be a completely positive map. Then there exists a completely positive extension $\tilde{\phi} : \tilde{S} \to B(H)$.*

We will use the following fact, which follows from Theorem 2.6 of [2]. We thank David Blecher for providing this reference. To keep our paper self-contained, we present a brief proof as a corollary of Theorem 6.2 above.

Corollary 6.3 (Bearden, Blecher, Sharma [2])**.** *Let $Z \subset \tilde{Z}$ be an inclusion of unital operator spaces, and let $\phi : Z \to B(H)$ be a real-completely positive map. Then there exists a real-completely positive extension $\tilde{\phi} : \tilde{Z} \to B(H)$.*

Proof. By rescaling ϕ, we may assume that ϕ is completely contractive (with respect to the norm described in Theorem 3.4). By Proposition 1.2.8 of [1], ϕ extends to a unique completely positive map on $Z + Z^*$. By Theorem 6.2, we may further extend ϕ to a completely positive map $\tilde{\phi} : \tilde{Z} + \tilde{Z}^* \to B(H)$. The restriction of $\tilde{\phi}$ to $\tilde{Z}$ is the desired extension. □

The next theorem follows from a result of Effros and Winkler on the extension of completely gauge contractive maps (Theorem 6.9, [12]). When $V \subset V'$ is an inclusion of matrix gauge spaces, we shall assume V is endowed with the restriction of the gauge ν on V' to V. Since $B(H)$ is an operator system, we may regard $B(H)$ as a matrix gauge space with the unique inducing gauge ν_e.

Theorem 6.4. *Let (V', ν) be a matrix gauge space with a $\mathbb{C}$-proper gauge ν and a subspace V, and let $\phi : V \to B(H)$ be a completely gauge contractive map. Then there exists a completely gauge contractive extension $\tilde{\phi} : V' \to B(H)$.*

Effros and Winkler's proof of Theorem 6.9 in [12] is non-trivial, even with the assumption that ν is $\mathbb{C}$-proper and finite. We would like to demonstrate a short proof of Theorem 6.4 using our results. We first prove the following.

Lemma 6.5. *Let (V, ν) be a matrix gauge space and S a unital operator system with order unit e, regarded as a matrix gauge space with the matrix gauge ν_e. If $\phi : V \to S$ is completely gauge contractive, then the unique unital extension $\tilde{\phi} : \tilde{V} \to S$ is real-completely positive, where $\tilde{V}$ is the unitization of V.*

Proof. Suppose that $(A, X) \in \tilde{V}^n_{ac}$, i.e., suppose that $u_n(-A, -X) = 0$. By Definition 4.3, there exists a decreasing sequence $\{t_k > 0\}$ with $t_k \to 0$, $t_k I_n + Re(X) = (-X)_{t_k} \gg 0$ and $\nu_n(-(-X)^{-1/2}_{t_k} A (-X)^{-1/2}_{t_k}) \leq 1$ for each k.

Set $B_k = -(-X)^{-1/2}_{t_k} A (-X)^{-1/2}_{t_k}$. Since ϕ is completely gauge contractive, we have

$$Re(\phi^{(n)}(B_k)) \leq \nu_n(B_k) e \otimes I_n \leq e \otimes I_n. \tag{3}$$

Since $Re(\phi^{(n)}(B_k)) = (-X)^{-1/2}_{t_k} Re(-A)(-X)^{-1/2}_{t_k}$, we may conjugate Equation (3) above by $(-X)^{1/2}_{t_k}$ to obtain $-Re(A) \leq (-X)_{t_k} \otimes e = e \otimes Re(X) + t_k e \otimes I_n$. Hence, $0 \leq Re(A) + e \otimes Re(X) + t_k e \otimes I_n = Re(\tilde{\phi}^{(n)}(A, X)) + t_k e \otimes I_n$. It follows that $Re(\tilde{\phi}^{(n)}(A, X)) \geq 0$. Since this holds for all $(A, X) \in \tilde{V}^n_{ac}$, ϕ is real-completely positive. □

We now prove Theorem 6.4.

Proof. Assume $\phi : V \to B(H)$ is completely gauge contractive. Write $\tilde{V}$ and $\tilde{V'}$ for the unitizations of V and V', respectively. By Lemma 6.5, the unital extension of ϕ to $\tilde{V}$ is real-completely positive. By Corollary 6.3, we may further extend ϕ

to a real-completely positive map $\tilde{\phi} : \tilde{V'} \to B(H)$. The restriction of $\tilde{\phi}$ to V' is a completely gauge contractive extension of ϕ. □

We now consider the extension of real-cpcc maps. We first demonstrate by example that real-cpcc maps need not have completely positive completely contractive extensions to their unitizations. For simplicity, we consider examples involving self-adjoint operator spaces.

Example 6.6. *Fix $n > 2$ and let $V = \text{span}\{x := (-n, 0, 1)\} \subset \mathbb{C}^3$, where $\mathbb{C}^3$ is regarded as the diagonal of $M_3 = B(\mathbb{C}^3)$. Define $\phi : V \to \mathbb{C}$ by setting $\phi(x) = n$. Then ϕ is real-cpcc (trivially), but ϕ has no completely positive completely contractive extension to $\mathbb{C}^3$, as any such extension $\tilde{\phi}$ must satisfy $\tilde{\phi}(1, 1, 1) \geq \phi(x) = n$.*

For a non-trivial example, consider the following.

Example 6.7. *Fix $n > 2$, and let $V_n = \text{span}\{x_n := (n, n, 0), y_n := (0, n, 1)\} \subset \mathbb{C}^3$. Then the functional defined by $\phi_n(x_n) = 0$ and $\phi_n(y_n) = \frac{n}{2}$ is real-cpcc, but has no completely positive completely contractive extension to $\mathbb{C}^3$. To see that ϕ is real-cpcc, it suffices to show that ϕ is positive and that ϕ is contractive on $(V_n)_{sa}$. It is clear that ϕ is positive. If $\|tx_n + ry_n\| \leq 1$ for some $t, r \in \mathbb{R}$, then $|t| \leq \frac{1}{n}$ and $|t + r| \leq \frac{1}{n}$. Hence, $|r| \leq |r + t| + |t| \leq \frac{1}{n} + \frac{1}{n}$. Therefore*

$$|\phi(tx_n + ry_n)| = \frac{n|r|}{2} \leq 1.$$

So ϕ is contractive. However, any extension $\tilde{\phi}$ of ϕ satisfies

$$\tilde{\phi}(1, 1, 1) \geq \tilde{\phi}(y_n - x_n) = \frac{n}{2} > 1.$$

In fact, a modification of the above example shows that completely positive maps need not have completely positive extensions in the absence of a unit.

Example 6.8. *For each $n > 2$, let $V_n = \text{span}\{x_n = (n, n, 0), y_n = (0, n, 1)\} \subset \mathbb{C}^3$, and let $V = \bigoplus_{n>2} V_n \subset l^\infty$. Define $\phi : V \to B(l^2)$ by $\phi = \oplus_{n>2}\phi_n$, where the ϕ_n's are the functionals from the previous example. Then ϕ has no completely positive extension to l^∞. For, any positive extension $\tilde{\phi}$ of ϕ would have to satisfy $\tilde{\phi}(I) \geq \phi_n(y_n - x_n) \oplus 0 \geq \frac{n}{2} \oplus 0$ for each $n > 2$.*

The following theorem links the above failures to the non-uniqueness of inducing gauges. We say that a normal accretive operator space (Z, Z_{ac}, h) has the **real-cpcc extension property** if for each operator system S and each completely isometric real-complete order embedding $j : Z \to S$, every real-cpcc map $\phi : Z \to B(K)$ has a completely positive completely contractive extension $\tilde{\phi} : S \to B(K)$ (for each Hilbert space K).

Theorem 6.9. *An operator space Z has the real-cpcc extension property if and only if (Z, Z_{ac}, h) has a unique inducing gauge, where Z_{ac} is the matrix cone of accretive operators and $h_n(x) = \|Re(x)\|_n$.*

Proof. Assume that S is an operator system and that $j : Z \to S$ is a completely isometric real-complete order embedding. Then

$$Z_{ac}^n = \{x \in M_n(Z) : Re(j^{(n)}(x)) \geq 0\}$$

and

$$h_n(x) = \|Re(j^{(n)}(x))\|_n.$$

Consequently, ν_e induces (Z, Z_{ac}, h), where ν_e is the unique inducing gauge on S. If (Z, Z_{ac}, h) has a unique inducing gauge, then $\nu_e^n(x) = \nu_{max}^n(x)$ for all $x \in M_n(Z)$. Hence, every real-cpcc map $\phi : Z \to B(K)$ has a completely positive completely

contractive extension to S, by Theorem 6.4 and Proposition 6.1. Now, assume that (Z, Z_{ac}, h) has an inducing gauge $\nu \neq \nu_{max}$. By Theorem 4.8, there exist Hilbert spaces H, K and completely gauge isometric maps $\phi : (Z, \nu_{max}) \to B(K)$ and $j : (Z, \nu) \to B(H)$. Let S be the operator system generated by $j(Z)$ in $B(H)$. Note that ϕ is real-cpcc by Proposition 6.1. However, any completely contractive extension $\tilde{\phi} : S \to B(K)$ fails to be completely positive. For, if $\tilde{\phi}$ is a completely contractive extension of ϕ to S, then for each $x \in M_n(Z)$,

$$\nu^n_{I_K}(T_x) = \nu^n_{max}(x)$$

for $T_x = \tilde{\phi}^{(n)}(j^{(n)}(x))$. However, the assumption that $\nu \neq \nu_{max}$ implies that there exists some $x \in M_n(Z)$ such that $\nu_n(x) < \nu^n_{max}(x)$. Hence, $\nu^n_{I_K}(T_x) > \nu^n_e(j^{(n)}(x))$. So for $\nu^n_e(j^{(n)}(x)) < t < \nu^n_{I_k}(T_x)$, we have $te \otimes I_n - Re(j^{(n)}(x)) \geq 0$ in S, but $t\tilde{\phi}(e) \otimes I_n - Re(T_x)$ is not positive in $B(K)$ since $tI_K \otimes I_n - Re(T_x)$ is not positive in $B(K)$ and $\tilde{\phi}(e)$ is a contraction. □

We note that Arveson's extension theorem is a special case of the above theorem, as it could be rephrased as "every operator system has the real-cpcc extension property".

7. Final remarks and acknowledgments

We conclude by noting that much more can be said concerning matrix gauge representations of operator spaces. For example, objects such as quotients or tensor products have not been addressed here. We plan to study these concepts in a future paper. We refer the reader to an earlier paper [19] for a few details concerning quotients, as well as "commutative" versions of several results presented in this paper.

The author would like to thank the following individuals for helpful comments and discussions related to this paper and its predecessor [19]: David Blecher, Allan Donsig, Douglas Farenick, Rupert Levene, Vern Paulsen, David Pitts, and Mark Rieffel, as well as Mark Tomforde for making several fruitful conversations possible. The author also extends his gratitude to the Associate Editor and referees for their careful reading of this manuscript and their valuable suggestions.

References

[1] W.B. Arveson. Subalgebras of C^*-algebras. *Acta Math.*, 123:141–224, 1969.
[2] C.A. Bearden, D.P. Blecher, and S. Sharma. On positivity and roots in operator algebras. *S. Integr. Equ. Oper. Theory*, 79(4):555–566, 2014.
[3] D.P. Blecher. Generalization of C^*-algebra methods via real positivity for operator and Banach algebras. *arXiv:1508.03059 [math.OA].*
[4] D.P. Blecher, K. Kirkpatrick, M. Neal, and W. Werner. Ordered involutive operator spaces. *Positivity*, 11(3):497–510, 2007.
[5] D.P. Blecher and M. Neal. Metric characterizations of isometries and of unital operator spaces and systems. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 139(3):985–998, 2011.
[6] D.P. Blecher and M. Neal. Metric characterizations II. *Illinois J. Math.*, 57(1):25–41, 2013.
[7] D.P. Blecher and N. Ozawa. Real positivity and approximate identities in Banach algebras. *Pacific J. Math.*, 277(1):1–59, 2015.
[8] D.P. Blecher and C.J. Read. Operator algebras with contractive approximate identities. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 261(1):188–217, 2011.
[9] D.P. Blecher and C.J. Read. Operator algebras with contractive approximate identities, II. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 264(4):1049–1067, 2013.
[10] D.P. Blecher and C.J. Read. Order theory and interpolation in operator algebras. *Studia Math.*, 225(1):61–95, 2014.
[11] M.D. Choi and E.G. Effros. Injectivity and operator spaces. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 24(2):156–209, 1977.
[12] E.G. Effros and S. Winkler. Matrix convexity: operator analogues of the bipolar and Hahn-Banach theorems. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 144(1):117–152, 1997.

[13] X-J. Huang and C-K. Ng. An abstract characterization of unital operaor spaces. *J.O.T.*, 67(1):289–298, 2012.
[14] K. Juschenko and S. Popovych. Matrix ordered operator algebras. *Indiana Univ. Math. J.*, 58(3):1203–1218, 2009.
[15] A.K. Karn. Order embedding of a matrix ordered space. *Bull. Aust. Math. Soc.*, 84(1):10–18, 2011.
[16] C-K Ng. Operator subspaces of $L(H)$ with induced matrix orderings. *Indiana Univ. Math. J.*, 60(2):577–610, 2011.
[17] V.I. Paulsen. *Completely Bounded Maps and Operator Algebras*. Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics. Cambridge University Press, 2002.
[18] Z-J. Ruan. Subspaces of C^*-algebras. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 76(1):217–230, 1988.
[19] T.B. Russell. Characterizations of self-adjoint operator spaces. *arXiv:1508.06272 [math.OA]*.
[20] W.J. Schreiner. Matrix regular operator spaces. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 152(1):136 – 175, 1998.
[21] W.F. Stinespring. Positive functions on C^*-algebras. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 6:211–216, 1955.
[22] W. Werner. Subspaces of $L(H)$ that are $*$-invariant. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 193(2):207–223, 2002.

Department of Mathematics, University of Nebraska-Lincoln, Lincoln NE 68588-0130
E-mail address: trussell8@huskers.unl.edu
URL: http://www.math.unl.edu/~trussell8/